SCS Agency

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board				
Author: O'Connell	_ Analyst: Kristina North	Bill Number: SB 1985		
See previous Related Bills: analysis	Telephone:845-6978	Amended Date: 4/23/98		
	Attorney: Doug Bramhall	Sponsor:		
SUBJECT: Computer Technology Deduction/Conformity	& Equipment Contributions '	To Schools		
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS introduced/amended	ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggest	ions of previous analysis of bill as		
X AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVEN	NUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.			
	LVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS S PROPOSED TO BE AMENDED (APRIL			
X FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.				
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO				
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED FEBRUARY 19, 1998, STILL APPLIES.				
XOTHER - See comments below.				
SUMMARY OF BILL				
Under the Bank and Corporation an augmented charitable contribute technology and equipment to easier technology and equipment to be a summary of amendment. • limited the deduction to be	ibution deduction for contrological ducational institutions in	ributing computer California.		
♦ limited the deduction to co	ontributions made to educat	cional institutions located		
in this state;				
◆ added a reference to the In Public Law 102-34; and	nternal Revenue Code (IRC)	as added by Section 224 of		
 specified that federal prov taxpayer and the sunset dat state deduction. 				
DEPARTMENTS THAT MAY BE AFFECTED):			
STATE MANDA	TE GOVERNO	DR'S APPOINTMENT		
Board Position: O SA OUA N NP NA NAR X PENDING	Agency Secretary Position: S O SA OUA N NP NA NAR DEFER TO	Position Approved Position Disapproved Position Noted		
Department/Legislative Director Date	Agency Secretary Date	By: Date:		
Johnnie Lou Rosas 4/30/08				

Senate Bill 1985 (O'Connell) Amended April 23, 1998 Page 2

The policy and implementation concerns discussed in the department's analyses of the bill as introduced February 19, 1998, and As Proposed To Be Amended (April 13, 1998) that still apply are restated as follows. A new revenue estimate and technical concerns also are provided, resulting from the April 23, 1998, amendment. The remainder of the previous bill analysis still applies.

Policy Consideration

By further broadening the federal augmented deduction for state purposes, this bill would not conform to federal law and would increase complexity for taxpayers.

Implementation Considerations

Definitions are needed for: "public or private institutions," "elementary and secondary institutions," "community colleges," and "modified property." Further definition is needed for "educational institution."

The author's intent is unclear on the provision that the modified property "be sold regularly by the manufacturer in order to be treated as newly acquired or constructed property." Clarification is needed.

Technical Considerations

The reference to the federal law is numerically incorrect. The Public Law number for the relevant federal legislation, the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997, is 105-34, rather than 102-34.

Tax Revenue Estimate

The revenue impact of this amended bill is estimated to be as follows:

Estimated Revenue Impact of SB 1985				
Income Years After 12/31/97				
Enactment Assumed After 6/30/98				
(In \$Millions)				
1998/99	1999/00	2000/01		
/ E \	(A E)	(5)		
(5)	(4.5)	(5)		
	me Years After ent Assumed Af (In \$Million	me Years After 12/31/97 ent Assumed After 6/30/98 (In \$Millions) 1998/99 1999/00		

Any possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that might result from this bill are not taken into account.

Tax Revenue Discussion

Revenue losses would depend upon the amount of augmented charitable contribution deductions claimed for property (computers) donated to educational institutions and the effective tax rate of contributing corporations.

This bill expands federal requirements by allowing augmented deductions for contributions of computer equipment and software by corporations to any California educational institution.

Senate Bill 1985 (O'Connell) Amended April 23, 1998 Page 3

This revenue estimate reduces the previous estimate (April 13, 1998, version) by 10% to reflect the elimination of business donors under the Personal Income Tax Law.